

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/844,566	(	04/27/2001	Thomas Boyer	367A	1789	
22474	7590	11/30/2005	,	EXAMINER		
DOUGHE			MCDONALD, SHANTESE L			
1901 ROXB SUITE 300	OROUGH	ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHARLOT	re, nc 2	28211	•	3723		

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/844,566	BOYER ET AL.	ra j
Office Action Sumi	mary	Examiner	Art Unit	•
		Shantese L. McDonald	3723	•
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app		with the correspondence addr	9SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended per Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF	M THE MAILING DA ne provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. maximum statutory period we riod for reply will, by statute, ree months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this commander  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	4
Status				,
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on 14 Se	eptember 2005.		•
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		action is non-final.		
			atters, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with t		· ·		
Disposition of Claims	•			
4)☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,7,10-13 and</u>	17-23 is/are nendin	o in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s)		- ''		
5) Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,7,10-13 and</u>				
6) Claim(s) <u>26</u> is/are rejected.	77 <u>20</u> 10/410 4110 WO	u.		•
7) Claim(s) is/are objection	ted to			
8) Claim(s) are subject		r election requirement		
, ,,,,	to restriction and/or	ciccion requirement.		• •
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected	•			•
10) The drawing(s) filed on		•	•	
Applicant may not request that				
			g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
11) The oath or declaration is of	ojected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made o		priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ N				
1. Certified copies of th				
2. Certified copies of th	•		• •	•
			n received in this National St	age
application from the l		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* See the attached detailed Of	fice action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		A) Tatoriou	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	M.1
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5)  Notice of 6) Other: _	Informal Patent Application (PTO-19 	52)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Da	ate 112305

Application/Control Number: 09/844,566

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller as modified by Moulin as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Nagahara et al.

Miller as modified by Moulin teaches all the limitations of the claims except for a polishing pad assembly having a plurality of wedges, each wedge aligning with a corresponding fiber optic cable connector and a base interconnecting with each of the wedges and at least two of the wedges having different heights relative to each other. Nagahara et al. teaches a polishing pad having a plurality of wedges, (fig. 4a), interconnected with a base, 116, and at least two of the wedges having different heights relative to each other, (col. 5, lines 9-20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the polishing system of Miller as modified by Moulin with a wedged polishing pad, as taught by Cote, in order to enhance the polishing capabilities.

Art Unit: 3723

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1,2,6,7,10-13 and 17-25 are allowed.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantese L. McDonald whose telephone number is (571) 272-4486. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.L.M.

November 23, 2005

June John Jaka

Page 4

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700